

Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 GENEVA 09482 01 OF 02 221843Z
ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 DODE-00 CIAE-00
INRE-00 ACDE-00 /026 W
-----022158 221846Z /41
P 220948Z JUN 78
FM USMISSION GENEVA
TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 1190
INFO AMEMBASSY MOSCOW
USMISSION USNATO

S E C R E T SECTION 01 OF 02 GENEVA 09482

EXDIS

USSALTTWO

C O R R E C T E D C O P Y (TEXT PARA 5)

E.O. 11652: XGDS-1
TAGS: PARM
SUBJECT: MINISTER SEMENOV'S STATEMENT OF JUNE 21, 1978
(SALT TWO-1791)

SEMENOV STATEMENT, JUNE 21, 1978

- I

AT TODAY'S MEETING THE USSR DELEGATION WILL ADDRESS
THE QUESTION OF REACHING AGREEMENT ON THE DEFINITION OF
CRUISE MISSILE RANGE, WHICH MUST BECOME AN INTEGRAL PART OF
THE ENTIRE SET OF PROVISIONS RELATING TO THIS TYPE OF
STRATEGIC OFFENSIVE ARMS.

AS YOU KNOW, THE JOINT DRAFT TEXT THE SIDES HAVE AT
THEIR DISPOSAL RECORDS AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE SIDES ON A
NUMBER OF IMPORTANT ASPECTS OF THE QUESTION OF LIMITING
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 GENEVA 09482 01 OF 02 221843Z

CRUISE MISSILES WITH A RANGE IN EXCESS OF 600 KILOMETERS.

THERE IS AGREEMENT ON THE PROVISIONS OF PARS. 1
AND 2 OF ARTICLE III OF THE PROTOCOL--ON MUTUAL OBLIGA-
TIONS NOT TO FLIGHT-TEST OR DEPLOY CRUISE MISSILES WITH A
RANGE IN EXCESS OF 2,500 KILOMETERS, AND ALSO NOT TO
DEPLOY CRUISE MISSILES WITH A RANGE IN EXCESS OF 600

KILOMETERS ON SEA-BASED LAUNCHERS OR LAND-BASED LAUNCHERS.

THERE IS ALSO AGREEMENT ON THE WORDING OF ARTICLE V, PAR. 1, OF THE DRAFT TREATY, ACCORDING TO WHICH HEAVY BOMBERS EQUIPPED FOR CRUISE MISSILES WITH A RANGE IN EXCESS OF 600 KILOMETERS, ALONG WITH STRATEGIC OFFENSIVE ARMS EQUIPPED WITH MIRVS, WILL BE LIMITED WITHIN THE AGGREGATE NUMBER NOT TO EXCEED 1,320.

AS WE UNDERSTAND IT, AS A RESULT OF THE EXCHANGE OF VIEWS HELD, THE POSITIONS OF THE SIDES HAVE ALSO COME CLOSER TOGETHER WITH RESPECT TO THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE II, PAR. 3, OF THE DRAFT TREATY--ON THE DEFINITION OF HEAVY BOMBERS--AS THEY RELATE TO LIMITATION ON LONG-RANGE CRUISE MISSILES.

I WOULD LIKE TO EMPHASIZE THAT THE SOVIET SIDE PROCEEDS FROM THE PREMISE THAT, IN CONNECTION WITH THE MATTER UNDER CONSIDERATION, THE SPECIFIC OBLIGATIONS BEING WORKED OUT FOR THE NEW TREATY WILL APPLY TO ANY CRUISE MISSILE WITH A RANGE IN EXCESS OF 600 KILOMETERS.

TAKING INTO ACCOUNT THE CONSIDERATIONS EXPRESSED HERE, INCLUDING THOSE SET FORTH IN THE DRAFTING GROUP, AT THE APRIL 14, 1978 MEETING THE USSR DELEGATION,
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 GENEVA 09482 01 OF 02 221843Z

PURSUANT TO INSTRUCTIONS, TABLED REVISED WORDING FOR THE AGREED STATEMENT TO ARTICLE II, PAR. 8, OF THE DRAFT TREATY, ACCORDING TO WHICH THE RANGE OF A CRUISE MISSILE IS THE MAXIMUM DISTANCE WHICH CAN BE COVERED BY THE MISSILE IN ITS STANDARD DESIGN MODE FLYING UNTIL FUEL EXHAUSTION, DETERMINED BY PROJECTING ITS FLIGHT PATH ONTO THE EARTH'S SPHERE FROM POINT OF LAUNCH TO POINT OF IMPACT.

THIS PROVISION IS CONSISTENT WITH THE SUBSTANCE OF THE EXISTING UNDERSTANDING ON CRUISE MISSILE LIMITATION, REACHED AT A DIFFERENT LEVEL, WHICH PROVIDES FOR ESTABLISHING LIMITS OF 600 AND 2,500 KILOMETERS; AS ALREADY SAID HERE, THEY ARE RECORDED IN THE RELEVANT AGREED PROVISIONS OF THE DRAFT TREATY AND THE PROTOCOL THERETO.

I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO EMPHASIZE THAT WE ARE CONVINCED THAT THE DEFINITION OF CRUISE MISSILE RANGE, JUST AS THE DEFINITION OF CRUISE MISSILES PER SE, MUST BE CONTAINED IN THE TREATY ITSELF, NAMELY IN ITS ARTICLE II WHICH CONTAINS DEFINITIONS OF STRATEGIC OFFENSIVE ARMS, LIMITATIONS ON WHICH ARE THE SUBJECT OF THE OBLIGATIONS

BEING ASSUMED BY THE SIDES. AS WE HAVE SHOWN HERE, THE VERY IMPORTANT LIMITATIONS ON CRUISE MISSILES WITH A RANGE IN EXCESS OF 600 KILOMETERS, BEING AN ORGANIC PART OF THE TREATY, WILL REMAIN IN FORCE FOR THE ENTIRE TERM OF THE TREATY, THAT IS, THROUGH 1985. THEREFORE, THE RELEVANT DEFINITIONS MUST BE IN THE TREATY AND NOT IN THE PROTOCOL THERETO, WHICH HAS A SHORTER TERM, NAMELY THREE YEARS.

SECRET

NNN

SECRET

PAGE 01 GENEVA 09482 02 OF 02 221009Z
ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 DODE-00 CIAE-00

INRE-00 /026 W

-----018522 221016Z /12

P 220948Z JUN 7

FM USMISSION GENEVA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 1191

INFO AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

USMISSION USNATO

S E C R E T SECTION 02 OF 02 GENEVA 09482

EXDIS

USSALTTWO

WITH REGARD TO THE DEFINITION OF CRUISE MISSILE RANGE, THE U.S. DELEGATION AT THE JUNE 14, 1978 MEETING SET FORTH CONSIDERATIONS CONTAINING A NUMBER OF NEW ELEMENTS. THIS IS BEING STUDIED. IN SOME CASES, IT SEEMS, A CLOSENESS OR COINCIDENCE OF VIEWS HAS EMERGED.

NOT HAVING IN MIND AT THIS POINT TO EXPRESS THE SOVIET SIDE'S POSITION ON THE CONSIDERATIONS STATED BY THE U.S. DELEGATION AT THE AFOREMENTIONED MEETING, TODAY THE USSR DELEGATION WOULD LIKE TO ASK SOME QUESTIONS FOR THE PURPOSE OF CLARIFYING THE SUBSTANCE OF THESE CONSIDERATIONS.

AS FAR AS ONE CAN JUDGE, WITH RESPECT TO THE DEFINITION OF THE RANGE OF CRUISE MISSILES WHICH, AS WAS MENTIONED IN THE U.S. DELEGATION'S STATEMENT, ARE DEVELOPED AND TESTED FOR ENGAGING TARGETS OTHER THAN

SHIPS, THE U.S. SIDE NOW APPLIES TWO CONDITIONS--ONE
RELATED TO THE MAXIMUM OPERATIONAL RANGE OF A CRUISE
MISSILE AND A SECOND RELATED TO THE DISTANCE WHICH CAN
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 GENEVA 09482 02 OF 02 221009Z

BE COVERED BY SUCH A MISSILE WHEN OPERATING IN ITS
STANDARD FULL SYSTEM DESIGN MODE. WHAT IS THE CORRELATION
BETWEEN THESE TWO CONDITIONS? SHOULD IT BE UNDERSTOOD
THAT ONLY ONE OF THE AFOREMENTIONED CONDITIONS WOULD BE
SUFFICIENT TO DETERMINE WHETHER THE SIDES ARE COMPLYING
WITH THE LIMITATIONS BEING ESTABLISHED ON THE RANGE OF THE
CORRESPONDING CRUISE MISSILES?

IN CONNECTION WITH THE SECOND CONDITION, BASED ON
THE DISTANCE WHICH CAN BE COVERED BY THE MISSILE, IT WAS
NOTED THAT THIS DISTANCE WOULD BE MEASURED ALONG THE
PROJECTION OF THE CRUISE MISSILE'S FLIGHT PATH ONTO THE
EARTH'S SPHERE FROM POINT OF LAUNCH TO POINT OF IMPACT.
HOWEVER, IN THIS CONNECTION, MENTION IS MADE NOT ONLY OF
USING THE GIVEN CRUISE MISSILE IN ITS STANDARD DESIGN
MODE, BUT ALSO OF USING THE "FULL SYSTEM."

WHAT DOES THAT ADDITION MEAN?

THE U.S. DELEGATION'S STATEMENT AT THE JUNE 14,
1978 MEETING NOTES THAT THE APPROACH SET FORTH WOULD PLACE
A FINITE LIMIT ON THE MAXIMUM DISTANCE A CRUISE MISSILE
CAN COVER. WHAT SPECIFIC MAXIMUM DISTANCE IS THE U.S.
DELEGATION TALKING ABOUT?

FURTHERMORE, THE U.S. SIDE HAS SAID THAT THE .
PROPOSED DEFINITION OF CRUISE MISSILE RANGE WOULD NOT
INCLUDE THE ADDITIONAL DISTANCE WHICH THE MISSILE
THEORETICALLY COULD FLY IF IT USED ITS FUEL RESERVE.
WHAT ADDITIONAL DISTANCE COULD THE CRUISE MISSILE FLY BY
USING THIS RESERVE WHEN CARRYING OUT A FLIGHT UNDER, SAY,
AVERAGED CONDITIONS?

THE U.S. DELEGATION'S STATEMENT AT THE JUNE 14,
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 GENEVA 09482 02 OF 02 221009Z

1978 MEETING PROVIDES FOR DIFFERENT APPROACHES TO THE
LIMITATION OF THE RANGE OF CRUISE MISSILES DEPENDING UPON
THE TARGETS AGAINST WHICH THEY ARE USED. AND YET,
PROVISIONS ALREADY AGREED BETWEEN THE SIDES DIFFERENTIATE
BETWEEN CRUISE MISSILES BY BASING MODE ONLY AND NOT AT
ALL ON THE BASIS OF THE TARGETS AGAINST WHICH THEY ARE

USED. IT IS ALSO NECESSARY TO BEAR THIS IN MIND AS A
POINT OF DEPARTURE IN OUR NEGOTIATIONS. FURTHERMORE, IT
IS NOT CLEAR WHICH CRUISE MISSILES ARE MEANT, IN TERMS
OF THEIR BASING MODE, WHEN YOU SPEAK OF CRUISE MISSILES
"DEVELOPED AND TESTED FOR ANTI-SHIP MISSIONS?"

- III

THESE ARE THE QUESTIONS THE USSR DELEGATION WANTED
TO ASK AT TODAY'S MEETING FOR PURPOSES OF CLARIFICATION IN
CONNECTION WITH THE U.S. DELEGATION'S STATEMENT OF JUNE
14, 1978.

THE USSR DELEGATION RESERVES THE RIGHT TO RAISE
ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS SHOULD THE NEED ARISE. EARLE

SECRET

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z
Capture Date: 01 jan 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: TEXT, DISARMAMENT, SALT (ARMS CONTROL), ARMS CONTROL MEETINGS, SPEECHES, MEETING DELEGATIONS, MEETINGS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 22 jun 1978
Decaption Date: 20 Mar 2014
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 20 Mar 2014
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1978GENEVA09482
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: X1
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D780262-0836
Format: TEL
From: GENEVA USSALTTWO
Handling Restrictions:
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1978/newtext/t19780632/aaaabbbbl.tel
Line Count: 239
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 8aa1bf83-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ACTION SS
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 5
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Reference: n/a
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 28 jun 2005
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 2252024
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: MINISTER SEMENOV'S STATEMENT OF JUNE 21, 1978 (SALT TWO-1791) SEMENOV STATEMENT, JUNE 21, 1978
TAGS: PARM, US, UR, (SEMENOV, V S), (SEMENOV)
To: STATE
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odhc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/8aa1bf83-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Sheryl P. Walter
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
20 Mar 2014
Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014